FIAN/TD/2015-16

Perturbative analysis in higher-spin theories

V.E. Didenko,[1] **N.G. Misuna**[2] **and M.A. Vasiliev**[1]

[1] *I.E. Tamm Department of Theoretical Physics, Lebedev Physical Institute, Leninsky prospect 53, 119991, Moscow, Russia*

[2] *Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology, Institutsky lane 9, 141700, Dolgoprudny, Moscow region, Russia*

didenko@lpi.ru, misuna@phystech.edu, vasiliev@lpi.ru

arXiv:1512.04405v3 [hep-th] 26 Jul 2016

Abstract

A new scheme of the perturbative analysis of the nonlinear HS equations is developed giving directly the final result for the successive application of the homotopy integrations which appear in the standard approach. It drastically simplifies the analysis and results from the application of the standard spectral sequence approach to the higher-spin covariant derivatives, allowing us in particular to reduce multiple homotopy integrals resulting from the successive application of the homotopy trick to a single integral. Efficiency of the proposed method is illustrated by various examples. In particular, it is shown how the Central on-shell theorem of the free theory immediately results from the nonlinear HS field equations with no intermediate computations.

Contents

1 Introduction **2**

2 4*d* Nonlinear Higher-Spin Equations **3**

3 Perturbative Analysis **5**

4 Homotopy operators **6**
4.1 Homotopy trick 6
4.2 Spectral sequence analysis 7

5 HS spectral sequence **9**
5.1 Adjoint case 9
5.2 Twisted adjoint case 13

6 Applications **15**
6.1 Free equations 16
6.2 Higher orders 16
6.3 Extended equations 17

7 Conclusion **18**

1 Introduction

Conventional AdS/CFT correspondence conjecture [1, 2, 3] claims that the bulk action evaluated on equations of motion provides a generating functional for correlation functions of the boundary CFT, with boundary values of AdS fields associated with sources for conformal operators.

General aspects of the AdS/CFT correspondence in higher-spin (HS) theory were originally discussed in [4, 5, 6]. More specifically, Klebanov and Polyakov argued [7] that nonlinear HS gauge theory is holographically dual to vectorial conformal theories. The conjecture was further generalized for supersymmetric case in [8] and specified for parity preserving HS theories in [9]. However, apart from some particular tests at the level of three-point functions [10, 11] the direct check of this conjecture is still lacking because an appropriate full nonlinear action of HS theory is as yet unavailable (see however [12]). On the other hand some very encouraging results have been obtained in the one-loop analysis of the HS holography [13, 14, 15].

Recently an alternative conjecture for the boundary generating functional, which is essentially different *e.g.* from those of [16] (and references therein), has been put forward in [17], where an extension of the original HS system of [18] was proposed containing a 'Lagrangian form' $\mathcal{L}$ which, upon integration, gives rise to a functional of modules of solutions of the bulk theory, *i.e.,* of boundary sources, that is gauge invariant under HS gauge transformations. The extended unfolded equations allow a perturbative reconstruction of this functional. However, since the construction of [17] involves higher differential forms, the perturbative analysis requires repeated resolution of higher differential forms in terms of the original HS fields beyond the linear order. In this case application of the conventional step-by-step machinery developed

in [18] (see also [19]) quickly gets complicated leading to involved expressions hard to operate with.

The aim of this paper is to present a more powerful technique for perturbative analysis of unfolded HS equations, allowing to evaluate directly the result of repeated application of homotopy formulae. Being originally developed for the analysis of the higher-rank forms of the extended Lagrangian HS system in four dimensions of [17] (more generally, extensions of higher-spin theories to higher differential forms were considered for instance in [20, 12, 21]), the new approach is useful far beyond this specific problem and, in particular, for the analysis of higher-order terms in the original HS theories in various dimensions. As an illustration, we demonstrate in this paper how the derivation of the standard linearized $4d$ HS equations results from a one-line computation instead of several pages in the previous approach.

2 $4d$ Nonlinear Higher-Spin Equations

Known formulations of HS gauge theories in various dimensions [22] have similar structure. For definiteness, in this paper we mainly consider the historically first example of $4d$ nonlinear HS equations [18] underlying the AdS_4/CFT_3 HS holography. These equations have the form

$$\mathrm{d}_X W + W * W = -i\theta_\alpha \wedge \theta^\alpha \left(1 + \eta B * \varkappa k\right) - i\bar\theta_{\dot\alpha} \wedge \bar\theta^{\dot\alpha} \left(1 + \bar\eta B * \bar\varkappa \bar k\right), \tag{2.1}$$

$$\mathrm{d}_X B + W * B - B * W = 0. \tag{2.2}$$

Here $\mathrm{d}_X = dx^m \frac{\partial}{\partial x^m}$ is the space-time de Rham differential (onwards we omit wedge symbol). W and B are fields of the theory which depend both on space-time coordinates and on twistor-like variables $Y^A = \left(y^\alpha, \bar y^{\dot\alpha}\right)$ and $Z^A = \left(z^\alpha, \bar z^{\dot\alpha}\right)$ where the spinor indices α and $\dot\alpha$ take two values. The Y and Z variables provide a realization of HS algebra through the following noncommutative star product

$$\left(f * g\right)(Z, Y) = \int d^4U d^4V f\left(Z + U, Y + U\right) g\left(Z - V, Y + V\right) \mathrm{e}^{iU_A V^A}, \tag{2.3}$$

where $U_A V^A = U^A V^B \epsilon_{AB} = u^\alpha v^\beta \epsilon_{\alpha\beta} + \bar u^{\dot\alpha} \bar v^{\dot\beta} \epsilon_{\dot\alpha\dot\beta}$ and $\epsilon_{AB} = \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_{\alpha\beta} & 0 \\ 0 & \epsilon_{\dot\alpha\dot\beta} \end{pmatrix}$ is the $sp\left(4\right)$-invariant antisymmetric form built from the $sp\left(2\right)$-metrics $\epsilon_{\alpha\beta}$, $\epsilon_{\dot\alpha\dot\beta}$ (integration measure in (2.3) is implicitly normalized in such a way that $f * 1 = f$). It follows then

$$\left[Y^A, Y^B\right]_* = -\left[Z^A, Z^B\right]_* = 2i\epsilon^{AB}, \qquad \left[Y^A, Z^B\right]_* = 0. \tag{2.4}$$

Inner Klein operators $\varkappa$ and $\bar\varkappa$ are specific elements of the star-product algebra defined as

$$\varkappa := \exp\left(i z_\alpha y^\alpha\right), \qquad \bar\varkappa := \exp\left(i \bar z_{\dot\alpha} \bar y^{\dot\alpha}\right). \tag{2.5}$$

They have the following characteristic properties

$$\varkappa * \varkappa = 1, \qquad \varkappa * f\left(z^\alpha, y^\alpha\right) = f\left(-z^\alpha, -y^\alpha\right) * \varkappa, \tag{2.6}$$

$$f\left(y, z\right) * \varkappa = f\left(-z, -y\right) e^{i z_\alpha y^\alpha}, \tag{2.7}$$

analogously for $\bar{\varkappa}$.

B is a 0-form, while W is a 1-form in a space-time differential $dx^{\underline{m}}$ and in an auxiliary differential θ^A dual to Z^A. All differentials anticommute

$$\{dx^{\underline{m}}, dx^{\underline{n}}\} = \{dx^{\underline{m}}, \theta^A\} = \{\theta^A, \theta^B\} = 0. \tag{2.8}$$

In addition to the inner Klein operators of the star-product algebra there is also a pair of exterior Klein operators k and $\bar{k}$ which have similar properties

$$kk = 1, \qquad kf\left(z^\alpha; y^\alpha; \theta^\alpha\right) = f\left(-z^\alpha; -y^\alpha; -\theta^\alpha\right) k, \tag{2.9}$$

(analogously for $\bar{k}$), but as opposed to the inner Klein operators, k ($\bar{k}$) anticommute with (anti)holomorhic θ differentials and hence do not admit a realization in terms of the star-product algebra. The master fields B and W depend on the exterior Klein operators yielding a natural splitting of the field content into physical (propagating) and topological (nonpropagating) sectors of the theory. The sector of physical fields is represented by B linear in k or $\bar{k}$, while W instead depends on $k\bar{k}$. For topological sector this is other way around. Note that the topological sector can be truncated away, while this is not the case for the physical one. In what follows we consider the propagating fields.

η in (2.1) is a free complex parameter of the theory which can be normalized to be unimodular $|\eta| = 1$ hence representing the phase factor freedom. HS theory is parity-invariant in the two cases of $\eta = 1$ (A-model) and $\eta = i$ (B-model) [9].

The generalization of (2.1), (2.2) proposed in [17] involves differential forms of higher degrees and has the form

$$\mathrm{d}_X \mathcal{W} + \mathcal{W} * \mathcal{W} = -i\theta_A\theta^A - i\eta\theta_\alpha\theta^\alpha \mathcal{B} * \varkappa k - i\bar{\eta}\bar{\theta}_{\dot\alpha}\bar{\theta}^{\dot\alpha}\mathcal{B} * \bar{\varkappa}\bar{k} + g\delta^4(\theta) * \varkappa k * \bar{\varkappa}\bar{k} + \mathcal{L}, \tag{2.10}$$

$$\mathrm{d}_X \mathcal{B} + \mathcal{W} * \mathcal{B} - \mathcal{B} * \mathcal{W} = 0. \tag{2.11}$$

Here $\mathcal{W}$ contains odd-graded differential forms, *i.e.,* in addition to the 1-form W there is a 3-form Ω with analogous properties, while $\mathcal{B}$ supports even-graded differential forms being a sum of the 0-form B and a 2-form Φ. g is an arbitrary coupling constant and $\delta^4(\theta) = \theta^\alpha\theta_\alpha\bar{\theta}^{\dot\alpha}\bar{\theta}_{\dot\alpha}$ is the top form in the Z-space. $\mathcal{L}(x)$ is independent of all variables $Y, Z, k, \bar{k}$ and θ. It is a sum of space-time 2-form $\mathcal{L}_2$ and space-time 4-form $\mathcal{L}_4$. $\mathcal{L}_2$ is argued to support surface charges on the solutions such as HS black holes, while $\mathcal{L}_4$ is conjectured to represent a generating functional for correlation functions of the boundary CFT through AdS/CFT correspondence. The latter is referred to as the on-shell Lagrangian.

Extension (2.10) and (2.11) of original equations (2.1) and (2.2) neither affects the dynamical field content, nor the structure of interactions. Indeed, system (2.10)-(2.11) contains (2.1)-(2.2) as the subsector of the lowest grade, with all higher-forms perturbatively expressed via B and W. The appearance of higher-degree forms significantly complicates the perturbative analysis necessary to evaluate the on-shell Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_4$. In practice it forces one to repeatedly process similar equations, in order to resolve auxiliary θ-dependent functions in terms of physical (θ-independent) space-time forms. But straightforward computation quickly gets involved. The goal of this paper is to develop a general machinery that allows one to handle perturbatively (2.10), (2.11) in a more efficient way.

3 Perturbative Analysis

To start a perturbative expansion one has to fix some vacuum solution to (2.10), (2.11). Eq. (2.11) can be solved by setting the vacuum value of $\mathcal{B}$ to zero

$$\mathcal{B}_0 = 0. \tag{3.1}$$

The natural vacuum solution for (2.10) in the 1-form sector is

$$W_0 = \phi_{AdS} + Z_A\theta^A, \tag{3.2}$$

where ϕ_{AdS} is the space-time 1-form of $sp\,(4)$-connection describing the AdS_4 background

$$\phi_{AdS} = -\frac{i}{4}\Big(\omega^{\alpha\beta}y_\alpha y_\beta + \bar\omega^{\dot\alpha\dot\beta}\bar y_{\dot\alpha}\bar y_{\dot\beta} + 2h^{\alpha\dot\beta}y_\alpha\bar y_{\dot\beta}\Big), \tag{3.3}$$

$$\mathrm{d}_X\phi_{AdS} + \phi_{AdS} * \phi_{AdS} = 0. \tag{3.4}$$

(We set the cosmological constant to unity.) It is convenient to extract the Lorentz connection and vierbein as follows

$$\phi_{AdS} = -\frac{i}{4}\phi^{AB}Y_AY_B = -\frac{i}{4}\left(\omega^{AB} + h^{AB}\right)Y_AY_B, \tag{3.5}$$

$$\omega^{AB}Y_AY_B := \omega^{\alpha\beta}y_\alpha y_\beta + \bar\omega^{\dot\alpha\dot\beta}\bar y_{\dot\alpha}\bar y_{\dot\beta}, \tag{3.6}$$

$$h^{AB}Y_AY_B := 2h^{\alpha\dot\beta}y_\alpha\bar y_{\dot\beta}. \tag{3.7}$$

To proceed, one has to determine vacuum values of Ω and $\mathcal{L}$, and then perturbative corrections. At all stages of the computation one encounters only two types of equations to be solved in the adjoint and twisted adjoint sectors, namely,

$$\Delta_{ad}f := \mathrm{d}_Xf + [\phi_{AdS}, f]_* - 2i\mathrm{d}_Zf = J, \tag{3.8}$$

or

$$\Delta_{tw}f := \mathrm{d}_Xf - \frac{i}{4}\left[\omega^{AB}Y_AY_B, f\right]_* - \frac{i}{4}\left\{h^{AB}Y_AY_B, f\right\}_* - 2i\mathrm{d}_Zf = J, \tag{3.9}$$

where $\mathrm{d}_Z := \theta^A\frac{\partial}{\partial Z^A}$. Indeed, these equations arise from the expansion of (2.10) and (2.11), respectively, around the vacuum with W_0 from (3.2) and determine f in terms of some source J which is differential form in $dx^{\underline m}$ and θ^A expressed order by order in terms of the lower order fields and/or differential forms of lower degrees. The Z-derivative d_Z emerges from star commutator with $Z_A\theta^A$ in (3.2). The anticommutator in (3.9) results from the linear dependence of $\mathcal{B}$ on k or $\bar k$ flipping a sign of $h^{AB}Y_AY_B$

$$\Delta_{ad}\left(fk\right) = \left(\Delta_{tw}f\right)k. \tag{3.10}$$

This corresponds to the so-called twisted adjoint representation as opposed to the adjoint one in (3.8). Our aim is to find an iterative solution to equations (3.8), (3.9) in a closed form.

4 Homotopy operators

4.1 Homotopy trick

We start with recalling some well-known facts on the standard homotopy trick. Let a differential d have the standard property

$$\mathrm{d}^2 = 0\,. \tag{4.1}$$

In application to HS theory it will be identified with de Rham differential in Z-space.

Let a homotopy operator ∂ obey

$$\partial^2 = 0. \tag{4.2}$$

Then the operator

$$A := \{\mathrm{d}\,, \partial\} \tag{4.3}$$

obeys

$$[\mathrm{d}\,, A] = 0\,, \qquad [\partial\,, A] = 0 \tag{4.4}$$

as a consequence of (4.1), (4.2). For diagonalizable A the standard Homotopy Lemma states that cohomology of d, denoted by $H(\mathrm{d})$, is concentrated in the kernel of A

$$H(\mathrm{d}) \subset KerA\,. \tag{4.5}$$

In this case the projector $\hat{h}$ to $KerA$

$$\hat{h}^2 = \hat{h} \tag{4.6}$$

exists and obeys

$$[\hat{h}\,, \mathrm{d}] = [\hat{h}\,, \partial] = 0\,. \tag{4.7}$$

Also we can introduce the operator A^* such that

$$A^*A = AA^* = Id - \hat{h}\,. \tag{4.8}$$

This allows us to define the operator

$$\mathrm{d}^* := A^*\partial = \partial A^* \tag{4.9}$$

that obeys

$$\mathrm{d}^*\mathrm{d} + \mathrm{d}\mathrm{d}^* = Id - \hat{h}\,. \tag{4.10}$$

This is equivalent to the resolution of identity

$$\{\mathrm{d}\,, \mathrm{d}^*\} + \hat{h} = Id\,. \tag{4.11}$$

This relation provides a general solution to the equation

$$\mathrm{d}f = J \tag{4.12}$$

with d-closed J being outside of $H\,(\mathrm{d})$, $\hat{h}J = 0$. For such J from (4.11) we get

$$J = \mathrm{d}\mathrm{d}^*J, \tag{4.13}$$

so (4.12) turns to

$$\mathrm{d}\,(f - \mathrm{d}^*J) = 0. \tag{4.14}$$

Hence

$$f = \mathrm{d}^* J + \mathrm{d}\epsilon + g, \tag{4.15}$$

where $\mathrm{d}\epsilon$ is an exact part and $g \in H(\mathrm{d})$ remains undetermined.

The above properties are true for the exterior differential $\mathrm{d} = \mathrm{d}_Z$ in trivial topology. In this case one can set

$$\partial = Z^A \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^A}\,. \tag{4.16}$$

This gives

$$A = \theta^A \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^A} + Z^A \frac{\partial}{\partial Z^A}, \tag{4.17}$$

$$A^* f(Z;Y;\theta) = \int\limits_0^1 dt \frac{1}{t} f(tZ;Y;t\theta)\,. \tag{4.18}$$

Checking that this indeed obeys (4.8) one should use

$$t\frac{\partial}{\partial t} f(tx) = x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} f(tx)\,. \tag{4.19}$$

$KerA$ consists of Z- and θ-independent functions and thus, according to Poincaré lemma, relation (4.5) turns to an exact equality

$$H(\mathrm{d}_Z) = KerA\,. \tag{4.20}$$

Correspondingly, $\hat{h}$ here is simply

$$\hat{h} J(Z;Y;\theta) = J(0;Y;0)\,, \tag{4.21}$$

while

$$\mathrm{d}_Z^* J(Z;Y;\theta) = Z^A \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^A} \int\limits_0^1 dt \frac{1}{t} J(tZ;Y;t\theta)\,. \tag{4.22}$$

Our goal is to extend this consideration to the full covariant derivative containing both x-dependent part and Z-dependent part by deriving an appropriate resolution of identity. Generally, a solution to this problem can be described in terms of a spectral sequence. However, in the case of HS equations the final result acquires a particularly concise form allowing to account a number of terms at once.

4.2 Spectral sequence analysis

Let us consider a general system of the form

$$\Delta f := \mathrm{d} f + \mathcal{D} f = J\,, \tag{4.23}$$

where d and $\mathcal{D}$ obey the standard spectral sequence relations

$$\mathrm{d}^2 = 0, \qquad \{\mathrm{d}, \mathcal{D}\} = 0\,, \qquad \mathcal{D}^2 = 0\,, \tag{4.24}$$

f is an m-form and J is an $(m+1)$-form obeying the compatibility condition

$$\Delta J = 0\,. \tag{4.25}$$

System (4.23) is double-graded with respect to two different differentials $dx^{\underline{m}}$ and θ^A associated with $\mathcal{D}$ and d, respectively. The aim of this section is to write down a formal solution to (4.23) using properties (4.11) and (4.24) of d.

Let those components of functions that have degree n in θ be labelled by the subscript n: f_n, J_n etc. We will assume that the cohomology of d is concentrated at the lowest grade in θ as is the case for de Rham differential. As $\mathcal{D}$ brings one power of $dx^{\underline{m}}$ while d brings one power of θ, we can solve (4.23) sequentially in powers of $dx^{\underline{m}}$:

$$\mathrm{d} f_m = J_{m+1}, \tag{4.26}$$

$$\mathrm{d} f_{m-1} = J_m - \mathcal{D} f_m, \tag{4.27}$$

$$\mathrm{d} f_{m-2} = J_{m-1} - \mathcal{D} f_{m-1}, \tag{4.28}$$

$$\ldots$$

$$\mathrm{d} f_0 = J_1 - \mathcal{D} f_1, \tag{4.29}$$

$$\mathcal{D} g = J_0 - \mathcal{D} f_0\,., \tag{4.30}$$

where g is a part of f that belongs to $H\,(\mathrm{d})$. Using (4.15), a solution to (4.26)-(4.29) can be put into a compact form (omitting Δ-exact terms)

$$\sum_{n=0}^{m} f_n = \sum_{n=0}^{m} \left(-\mathrm{d}^* \mathcal{D}\right)^n \mathrm{d}^* J = \Delta^* J\,, \qquad \Delta^* J := \mathrm{d}^* \frac{1}{1 + \mathcal{D}\mathrm{d}^*} J\,, \tag{4.31}$$

where instead of the finite sum we sent $n \to \infty$ since terms with $n > m$ do not contribute anyway.

Now consider (4.30). As $g \in H\,(\mathrm{d})$, the same is true for r.h.s., allowing rewrite the equation as $\mathcal{D} g = \hat{h}\,(J_0 - \mathcal{D} f_0)$, and hence, taking into account that θ-dependent terms do not contribute under the action of $\hat{h}$,

$$\mathcal{D} g = \hat{h}\,(J - \mathcal{D}\Delta^* J)\,. \tag{4.32}$$

Let $\mathscr{H}$ denote the projector to the 'cohomology source'

$$\mathscr{H} := \hat{h}\,(1 - \mathcal{D}\Delta^*) = \hat{h} \frac{1}{1 + \mathcal{D}\mathrm{d}^*}. \tag{4.33}$$

Then (4.32) takes the form

$$\mathcal{D} g = \mathscr{H}(J). \tag{4.34}$$

To summarize, a general solution to (4.23) is

$$f = \Delta^* J + \Delta\epsilon + g, \tag{4.35}$$

where Δ^* is defined in (4.31), $\Delta\epsilon$ represents an exact part of solution, and g solves (4.34). By this analysis the remaining problem is to solve (4.34). Since the operators Δ^* and $\mathscr{H}$ provide a

generalization of d^* and $\hat{h}$ to the case with d extended to $\Delta = \mathrm{d} + \mathcal{D}$ it should not be surprising that an analogue of (4.11) holds

$$\{\Delta, \Delta^*\} + \mathscr{H} = Id, \tag{4.36}$$

providing another resolution of identity. To check this, one first makes use of (4.11) and, after collecting terms, reduces (4.36) to

$$\mathrm{d}^* \left(\mathrm{d} \frac{1}{1 + \mathcal{D}\mathrm{d}^*} - \frac{1}{1 + \mathcal{D}\mathrm{d}^*} \mathrm{d} - \frac{1}{1 + \mathcal{D}\mathrm{d}^*} \mathcal{D} \right) = 0. \tag{4.37}$$

Using (4.24) and

$$[\mathcal{D}\mathrm{d}^*, \mathrm{d}] = \mathcal{D} \left(1 - \hat{h} \right), \tag{4.38}$$

that follows from (4.24) and (4.11), one is left in (4.37) with terms in brackets, all containing $\hat{h}$ and containing no d. But from (4.11) one concludes that, as d is proportional to θ, d^* whatever it is, has to be proportional to $\partial/\partial\theta$. And as $\hat{h}$ puts θ to zero, all terms in (4.37) vanish because of d^* acting after $\hat{h}$, thus proving (4.36). Moreover, the latter property of d^* also shows that $\mathscr{H} = \hat{h} \frac{1}{1 + \mathcal{D}\mathrm{d}^*}$ is a projector

$$\mathscr{H}^2 = \mathscr{H}, \tag{4.39}$$

and that

$$\Delta^* \mathscr{H} = 0. \tag{4.40}$$

Then from (4.11) it follows that

$$\{\Delta, \Delta^*\}^2 = \{\Delta, \Delta^*\}, \tag{4.41}$$

$$[\mathscr{H}, \Delta] = [\mathscr{H}, \Delta^*] = 0. \tag{4.42}$$

Let us stress that resolution of unity (4.36) is essentially the central formula in this analysis. In particular, using (4.25), it gives rise to equations (4.34), (4.35) via the substitution

$$J \equiv \left[\{\Delta, \Delta^*\} + \mathscr{H} \right] J = \Delta\Delta^* J + \mathscr{H} J \,. \tag{4.43}$$

The above analysis was general in nature not using specific properties of $\mathcal{D}$. Being useful it simply accounts the manipulations involved in the process. Now we are in a position to evaluate specific operators Δ^* and $\mathscr{H}$ that appear in the HS theory.

5 HS spectral sequence

Now we set $\mathrm{d} = -2i\mathrm{d}_Z$ and $\mathcal{D}$ be either adjoint or twisted adjoint covariant derivative. We start with the adjoint case.

5.1 Adjoint case

The adjoint *AdS*-derivative is

$$\mathcal{D}_{ad} = \mathrm{d}_X + [\phi_{AdS}, \bullet]_* = \mathrm{d}_X + \phi^{AB} \left(Y_A - i \frac{\partial}{\partial Z^A} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial Y^B}, \tag{5.1}$$

Since $Z^A\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta^A}$ is nilpotent, only such terms of $\mathcal{D}_{ad}$ will contribute to (4.31), that differentiate Z^A in (4.22). They are

$$\mathcal{D}_{ad}\sim -i\phi^{AB}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial Y^A\partial Z^B}. \tag{5.2}$$

This allows us to rewrite (4.31) as

$$\Delta^*_{ad}J=-\frac{1}{2i}Z^A\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta^A}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\int\limits_{[0,1]^n}dt_1...dt_n\prod_{k=1}^{n}\frac{1}{(t_k)^k}\left(-\frac{1}{2}\phi^{BC}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial Y^B\partial\theta^C}\right)^{n-1}J\left(t_1\cdot...\cdot t_nZ;Y;t_1\cdot...\cdot t_n\theta\right). \tag{5.3}$$

Using the relation

$$\int\limits_0^1\int\limits_0^1 dt_1dt_2t_1^pt_2^mf\left(t_1t_2x\right)=\frac{1}{m-p}\int\limits_0^1 dt\left(t^p-t^m\right)f\left(tx\right),\qquad m\neq p \tag{5.4}$$

the repeated integral in (5.3) can be reduced to the single one (an accent next to $\prod$ indicates that the $p=k$ term is skipped):

$$\int\limits_{[0,1]^n}dt_1...dt_n\prod_{k=1}^{n}\frac{1}{(t_k)^k}J\left(t_1\cdot...\cdot t_nZ;Y;t_1\cdot...\cdot t_n\theta\right)=\int\limits_0^1 dt\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(\prod_{p=1}^{n}{}'\frac{1}{k-p}\right)t^{-k}J\left(tZ;Y;t\theta\right)=$$

$$=\int\limits_0^1 dt\sum_{k=1}^{n}\frac{(-1)^{n-k}\,t^{-k}}{(k-1)!\,(n-k)!}J\left(tZ;Y;t\theta\right)=\int\limits_0^1 dt\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(\begin{array}{c}n-1\\k-1\end{array}\right)\frac{(-1)^{n-k}\,t^{-k}}{(n-1)!}J\left(tZ;Y;t\theta\right)=$$

$$=\frac{1}{(n-1)!}\int\limits_0^1\frac{dt}{t}\left(\frac{1}{t}-1\right)^{n-1}J\left(tZ;Y;t\theta\right). \tag{5.5}$$

Plugging this back into (5.3) we arrive at the following compact expression

$$\Delta^*_{ad}J=-\frac{1}{2i}Z^A\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta^A}\int\limits_0^1 dt\frac{1}{t}\exp\left(-\frac{1-t}{2t}\phi^{BC}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial Y^B\partial\theta^C}\right)J\left(tZ;Y;t\theta\right) \tag{5.6}$$

or equivalently

$$\Delta^*_{ad}J=-\frac{1}{2i}Z^A\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta^A}\int_0^1\frac{dt}{t}J\Big(tZ;Y_B-\frac{1-t}{2t}\phi_B{}^C\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta^C};t\theta\Big). \tag{5.7}$$

Let us stress that the integration in (5.7) is free from singularity at $t=0$ since every differentiation over θ brings one power of t.

Now consider $\mathscr{H}_{ad}$ (4.33). As Z is eventually set to zero, only (5.2) will contribute, differentiating the overall factor of Z in (5.6). As a result, (4.33) can be rewritten as

$$\mathscr{H}_{ad}J\left(Z;Y;\theta\right)=\hat{h}\left(J+\frac{1}{2}\phi^{AB}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial Y^A\partial\theta^B}\int\limits_0^1 dt\frac{1}{t}\exp\left(-\frac{1-t}{2t}\phi^{CD}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial Y^C\partial\theta^D}\right)J\left(tZ;Y;t\theta\right)\right). \tag{5.8}$$

The relation

$$\frac{1}{2}\phi^{AB}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial Y^A\partial\theta^B}\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\phi^{CD}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial Y^C\partial\theta^D}\right)=\left[\theta^A\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta^A}+Z^A\frac{\partial}{\partial Z^A},\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\phi^{CD}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial Y^C\partial\theta^D}\right)\right] \quad (5.9)$$

along with (4.19) allows us to complete the integration over t giving the final result

$$\mathscr{H}_{ad}J\left(Z,Y,\theta\right)=\hat{h}\Big(\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\phi^{AB}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial Y^A\partial\theta^B}\right)J\left(Z;Y;\theta\right)\Big)=\hat{h}\Big(J\left(0;Y_A-\frac{1}{2}\phi_A{}^B\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta^B};\theta\right)\Big). \quad (5.10)$$

The resolution of identity

$$\{\Delta_{ad},\Delta^*_{ad}\}+\mathscr{H}_{ad}=1 \quad (5.11)$$

can be checked directly. To this end one notices that, due to (4.19), $1-\mathscr{H}_{ad}$ can be rewritten as

$$\left(1-\mathscr{H}_{ad}\right)J\left(Z;Y;\theta\right)=\left(\theta^A\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta^A}+Z^A\frac{\partial}{\partial Z^A}\right)\int\limits_0^1 dt\frac{1}{t}\exp\left(-\frac{1-t}{2t}\phi^{BC}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial Y^B\partial\theta^C}\right)J\left(tZ;Y;t\theta\right) \quad (5.12)$$

and simplifies $\{\Delta_{ad},\Delta^*_{ad}\}$ with the help of

$$\left\{Z^A\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta^A},\phi^{BC}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial Y^B\partial Z^C}\right\}=\phi^{BC}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial Y^B\partial\theta^C}, \quad (5.13)$$

$$\left\{Z^A\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta^A},\theta^B\frac{\partial}{\partial Z^B}\right\}=\theta^A\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta^A}+Z^A\frac{\partial}{\partial Z^A}, \quad (5.14)$$

and flatness condition (3.4). An alternative check of (5.11) can be carried out via integration by parts in $\{\Delta_{ad},\Delta^*_{ad}\}$. Clearly, all relations (4.39)-(4.42) also hold.

The above derivation of (5.6) and (5.10) was based on the explicit evaluation of the geometric progression from (4.31). However there is a simpler way to obtain these formulas, which will be most useful in the twisted adjoint case and which we explain now.

Let general equation (4.23) for the adjoint case

$$-2i\theta^A\frac{\partial}{\partial Z^A}f+\mathrm{d}_Xf+\phi^{AB}\left(Y_A-i\frac{\partial}{\partial Z^A}\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial Y^B}f=J \quad (5.15)$$

be rewritten as

$$-2i\left(\theta^A+\frac{1}{2}\phi^{AB}\frac{\partial}{\partial Y^B}\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial Z^A}f=J-\mathcal{D}^Y_{ad}f, \quad (5.16)$$

where $\mathcal{D}^Y_{ad}$ denotes the Z-independent part of $\mathcal{D}_{ad}$

$$\mathcal{D}^Y_{ad}:=\mathrm{d}_X+\phi^{AB}Y_A\frac{\partial}{\partial Y^B}. \quad (5.17)$$

Using that

$$\left(\theta^A+\frac{1}{2}\phi^{AB}\frac{\partial}{\partial Y^B}\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial Z^A}=\exp\left\{\frac{1}{2}\phi^{BC}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial Y^B\partial\theta^C}\right\}\theta^A\frac{\partial}{\partial Z^A}\exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\phi^{BC}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial Y^B\partial\theta^C}\right\} \quad (5.18)$$

and, by virtue of (3.4),

$$\left[\mathcal{D}^Y_{ad}, \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\phi^{BC}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial Y^B \partial\theta^C}\right\}\right] = 0\,, \tag{5.19}$$

(5.16) is transformed to the form

$$\mathrm{d}_Z \widetilde{f} = \widetilde{J} - \mathcal{D}^Y_{ad}\widetilde{f}\,, \tag{5.20}$$

where

$$\widetilde{f} := \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\phi^{AB}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial Y^A\partial\theta^B}\right\} f. \tag{5.21}$$

Consistency condition for (5.20) is

$$\mathrm{d}_Z\widetilde{J} + \mathcal{D}^Y_{ad}\widetilde{J} = 0, \tag{5.22}$$

that together with an obvious fact

$$\left\{\mathrm{d}^*_Z, \mathcal{D}^Y_{ad}\right\} = 0, \tag{5.23}$$

allows us to write down a general solution to (5.20) as

$$\widetilde{f} = \mathrm{d}^*_Z\widetilde{J} + \widetilde{g} + \mathrm{d}_Z\widetilde{\epsilon} + \mathcal{D}^Y_{ad}\widetilde{\epsilon}, \tag{5.24}$$

with arbitrary $\widetilde{\epsilon}$ and $\widetilde{g}$ solving

$$\mathcal{D}^Y_{ad}\widetilde{g} = \hat{h}\widetilde{J}. \tag{5.25}$$

The inverse transformation to (5.21) gives a general solution to (5.15)

$$f = \exp\left\{\frac{1}{2}\phi^{AB}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial Y^A\partial\theta^B}\right\}\mathrm{d}^*_Z \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\phi^{AB}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial Y^A\partial\theta^B}\right\} J + g + \Delta_{ad}\epsilon \tag{5.26}$$

where, as a consequence of (5.25), g solves

$$\mathcal{D}^Y_{ad} g \;\; = \;\; \mathscr{H}_{ad} J \tag{5.27}$$

with $\mathscr{H}_{ad}$ (5.10). Plugging (4.22) into (5.26) we obtain (5.6).

Finally, resolution of identity (5.11) follows from

$$\{d_Z, d^*_Z\}\,\widetilde{f} + \hat{h}\widetilde{f} = \widetilde{f}\,. \tag{5.28}$$

It may be convenient to use the integral form of formulae (5.6), (5.10). This is achieved through shifting arguments via δ-functions for bosonic variables (hereafter denoted by Latin letters; as in the case of star product, the factors of 2π are included into the integration measure $dUdV$)

$$f\,(X) = \int dUdV \exp\left\{iU_A\left(V^A - X^A\right)\right\} f\,(V) \tag{5.29}$$

and fermionic variables (hereafter denoted by Greek letters)

$$f\,(\xi) = \int d\zeta d\mu \exp\left\{\mu_A\left(\zeta^A - \xi^A\right)\right\} f\,(\zeta)\,. \tag{5.30}$$

We use Berezin integral conventions with anticommuting symbols $\int d\mu$, $\int d\zeta$ in order to have commuting unity $\int d\mu\mu = 1$ and $\left[\int d\mu\mu, \int d\zeta\zeta\right] = 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\triangle^*_{ad} J\left(Z;Y;\theta\right) &= -\frac{1}{2i}\int d\mu d\varphi d\chi dU dV \int\limits_0^1 \frac{dt}{t}\exp\left\{\chi_A\varphi^A + iU_A V^A\right\}\cdot \\ &\cdot\exp\left\{\mu t\chi_A Z^A + \frac{i}{2}\left(1-t\right)\phi^{BC}\chi_B U_C\right\} J\left(tZ;Y+V;t\theta^A+\varphi\right), \qquad (5.31)\\ \mathscr{H}_{ad} J\left(Z;Y;\theta\right) &= \int d\varphi d\chi dU dV \exp\left\{\chi_A\varphi^A + iU_B V^B + \frac{i}{2}\phi^{BC}\chi_B U_C\right\} J\left(0;Y+V;\varphi\right) \qquad (5.32)\end{aligned}$$

Let us emphasize that the derivation of these formulae involves evaluation of multiple homotopy integrals. In the usual approach not using this machinery, one has to perform analogous manipulations in every specific perturbative computation, which may be quite hard since 'the wood may not be seen for the trees'.

5.2 Twisted adjoint case

The twisted-adjoint AdS-derivative

$$\mathcal{D}_{tw} = \mathrm{d}_X - \frac{i}{4}\left[\omega^{AB}Y_A Y_B, \bullet\right]_* - \frac{i}{4}\left\{h^{AB}Y_A Y_B, \bullet\right\}_* \qquad (5.33)$$

has the form

$$\mathcal{D}_{tw} = \mathrm{d}_X + \omega^{AB}\left(Y_A - i\frac{\partial}{\partial Z^A}\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial Y^B} - \frac{i}{2}h^{AB}\left(Y_A Y_B - 2iY_A\frac{\partial}{\partial Z^B} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial Y^A\partial Y^B} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial Z^A\partial Z^B}\right). \qquad (5.34)$$

In this case a direct evaluation of the geometric progression of (4.31) becomes more involved because, instead of (5.2), the $\partial/\partial Z$-dependent part of $\mathcal{D}_{tw}$

$$\mathcal{D}_{tw} \sim -i\omega^{AB}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial Y^A\partial Z^B} - h^{AB}Y_A\frac{\partial}{\partial Z^B} + \frac{i}{2}h^{AB}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial Z^A\partial Z^B} \qquad (5.35)$$

contains degree -2 term in Z destroying homogeneity in the homotopy parameters t_k, which for the adjoint case led to the compact coefficient $\prod_{k=1}^n (t_k)^{-k}$ in (5.3). However analysis via the similarity transformation remains applicable.

Once again we rewrite the initial equation

$$-2i\mathrm{d}_Z f + \mathcal{D}_{tw} f = J \qquad (5.36)$$

as

$$-2i\left(\theta^A + \frac{1}{2}\omega^{AB}\frac{\partial}{\partial Y^B} - \frac{i}{2}h^{AB}Y_B - \frac{1}{4}h^{AB}\frac{\partial}{\partial Z^B}\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial Z^A} f = J - \mathcal{D}^Y_{tw} f, \qquad (5.37)$$

where

$$\mathcal{D}^Y_{tw} := \mathrm{d}_X + \omega^{AB}Y_A\frac{\partial}{\partial Y^B} - \frac{i}{2}h^{AB}\left(Y_A Y_B - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial Y^A\partial Y^B}\right). \qquad (5.38)$$

Eq. (5.37) suggests a proper analogue of Eq. (5.21)

$$\widetilde{f} := \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\omega^{AB}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial Y^A\partial\theta^B} + \frac{i}{2}h^{AB}Y_A\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta^B} + \frac{1}{4}h^{AB}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial Z^A\partial\theta^B}\right\} f \tag{5.39}$$

since

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\theta^A + \frac{1}{2}\omega^{AB}\frac{\partial}{\partial Y^B} - \frac{i}{2}h^{AB}Y_B - \frac{1}{4}h^{AB}\frac{\partial}{\partial Z^B}\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial Z^A} = \\
&= \exp\left\{\frac{1}{2}\omega^{AB}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial Y^A\partial\theta^B} - \frac{i}{2}h^{AB}Y_A\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta^B} - \frac{1}{4}h^{AB}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial Z^A\partial\theta^B}\right\}\theta^C\frac{\partial}{\partial Z^C}\cdot \\
&\cdot\exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\omega^{AB}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial Y^A\partial\theta^B} + \frac{i}{2}h^{AB}Y_A\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta^B} + \frac{1}{4}h^{AB}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial Z^A\partial\theta^B}\right\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.40}$$

This reduces (5.36) to

$$d_Z\widetilde{f} = \widetilde{J} - \mathcal{D}^Y_{tw}\widetilde{f} \tag{5.41}$$

because, analogously to the adjoint case,

$$\left[\mathcal{D}^Y_{tw}, -\frac{1}{2}\omega^{AB}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial Y^A\partial\theta^B} + \frac{i}{2}h^{AB}Y_A\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta^B} + \frac{1}{4}h^{AB}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial Z^A\partial\theta^B}\right] = 0. \tag{5.42}$$

This allows one to immediately write down a general solution of (5.36) in terms of f

$$f = \Delta^*_{tw}J + g + \triangle_{tw}\epsilon, \tag{5.43}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta^*_{tw}J = &-\frac{1}{2i}Z^C\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta^C}\exp\left\{\frac{1}{2}\omega^{AB}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial Y^A\partial\theta^B} - \frac{i}{2}h^{AB}Y_A\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta^B} - \frac{1}{4}h^{AB}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial Z^A\partial\theta^B}\right\}\cdot \\
&\cdot\int_0^1 dt\frac{1}{t}\exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2t}\omega^{AB}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial Y^A\partial\theta^B} + \frac{i}{2t}h^{AB}Y_A\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta^B} + \frac{1}{4t^2}h^{AB}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial Z^A\partial\theta^B}\right\}J\left(tZ;Y;t\theta\right)
\end{aligned} \tag{5.44}$$

Using Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula, we find

$$\begin{aligned}
&\exp\left\{\frac{1}{2}\omega^{AB}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial Y^A\partial\theta^B} - \frac{i}{2}h^{AB}Y_A\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta^B}\right\} = \\
&= \exp\left\{\frac{i}{8}\omega^{AB}\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta^B}h_A{}^C\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta^C} - \frac{i}{2}h^{AB}Y_A\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta^B}\right\}\exp\left\{\frac{1}{2}\omega^{AB}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial Y^A\partial\theta^B}\right\},
\end{aligned} \tag{5.45}$$

that permits to rewrite (5.44) as

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta^*_{tw}J \;=\; &-\frac{1}{2i}Z^C\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta^C}\int_0^1 dt\frac{1}{t}\exp\left\{-\frac{i}{8}\left(\frac{1-t}{t}\right)^2\omega^{AB}h_A{}^C\frac{\partial^2}{\partial\theta^B\partial\theta^C} + i\frac{1-t}{2t}h^{AB}Y_A\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta^B}\right\}\cdot \\
&\cdot\exp\left\{-\frac{1-t}{2t}\omega^{AB}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial Y^A\partial\theta^B} + \frac{1-t^2}{4t^2}h^{AB}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial Z^A\partial\theta^B}\right\}J\left(tZ;Y;t\theta\right)
\end{aligned} \tag{5.46}$$

and g solves

$$\mathcal{D}^Y_{tw} g = \mathscr{H}_{tw} J, \tag{5.47}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\mathscr{H}_{tw} J \;&:=\; \hat{h} \exp\left\{ -\frac{i}{8}\omega^{AB} h_A{}^C \frac{\partial^2}{\partial\theta^B \partial\theta^C} + \frac{i}{2} h^{AB} Y_A \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta^B} \right\} \cdot \\ &\cdot \exp\left\{ -\frac{1}{2}\omega^{AB} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial Y^A \partial\theta^B} + \frac{1}{4} h^{AB} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial Z^A \partial\theta^B} \right\} J\left(Z; Y; \theta\right). \end{aligned} \tag{5.48}$$

In the same manner as in the adoint case one can check that

$$\{\Delta_{tw}, \Delta^*_{tw}\} + \mathscr{H}_{tw} = 1. \tag{5.49}$$

All general formulae (4.39)-(4.42) remain valid for Δ^*_{tw} and $\mathscr{H}_{tw}$. In applications it is useful to use the following integral formulae (all powers of 2π are hidden in the integration measure)

$$\begin{aligned}\triangle^*_{tw} J\left(Z; Y; \theta\right) \;&=\; -\frac{1}{2i} \int d\mu d\sigma d\rho d U dV dP dQ \int_0^1 \frac{dt}{t} \exp\left\{ \mu t \sigma_A Z^A + \rho_A \sigma^A + i U_A V^A + i P_A Q^A \right\} \cdot \\ &\cdot \exp\left\{ -\frac{i}{2}\left(1-t\right)\omega^{AB}\sigma_A V_B + \frac{i}{2}\left(1-t\right) h^{AB}\sigma_A \left(Y_B + \frac{1}{2}U_B + \frac{1}{2}\left(1+t\right) Q_B \right) \right\} \cdot \\ &\cdot J\left(tZ + P; Y + U; t\theta + \rho\right), \end{aligned} \tag{5.50}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathscr{H}_{tw} J\left(Z; Y; \theta\right) \;&=\; \int d\sigma d\rho d U dV dP dQ \exp\left\{ \rho_A \sigma^A + i U_A V^A + i P_A Q^A \right\} \cdot \\ &\cdot \exp\left\{ -\frac{i}{2}\omega^{AB}\sigma_A V_B + \frac{i}{2} h^{AB}\sigma_A \left(Y_B + \frac{1}{2}U_B + \frac{1}{2} Q_B \right) \right\} J\left(P; Y + U; \rho\right). \end{aligned} \tag{5.51}$$

Another convenient representation for (5.50) and (5.51) in the form of integrals over purely fermionic variables

$$\begin{aligned}&\Delta^*_{tw} J(Z, Y; \theta) = -\frac{1}{2i} Z^A \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta^A} \int d\nu d\mu \int_0^1 \frac{dt}{t} e^{\nu^A \mu_A} \cdot \\ &\cdot J\Big(tZ_A - \frac{1}{4}(1-t^2)\nu^B h_{AB}, Y_A + \frac{1}{2}(1-t)\nu^B \omega_{AB}; \mu_A + t\theta_A - \frac{i}{2}(1-t) Y^B h_{AB} - \frac{i}{8}(1-t)^2 \nu^B h_A{}^C \omega_{BC} \Big), \end{aligned} \tag{5.52}$$

$$\mathscr{H}_{tw} J\left(Z; Y; \theta\right) = \int d\nu d\mu\, e^{\nu^A \mu_A} J\left(-\frac{1}{4}\nu^B h_{AB}, Y_A + \frac{1}{2}\nu^B \omega_{AB}; \mu_A + \frac{i}{2} h_A{}^B Y_B - \frac{i}{8}\nu^B h_A{}^C \omega_{CB} \right) \tag{5.53}$$

can be useful in applications.

6 Applications

Now let us consider some applications of the developed machinery illustrating in particular how it immediately reproduces some results which otherwise would require multi-step computations.

6.1 Free equations

Consider a linear analysis of non-extended HS system (2.1), (2.2). In the lowest order it takes the form

$$\Delta_{ad} W_1 = -i\eta B_1 * \gamma - i\bar\eta B_1 * \bar\gamma, \tag{6.1}$$

$$\Delta_{ad} B_1 = 0, \tag{6.2}$$

where 1-form W_1 and 0-form B_1 are linear fluctuations and

$$\gamma := \theta_\alpha \theta^\alpha \varkappa k, \qquad \bar\gamma := \bar\theta_{\dot\alpha} \bar\theta^{\dot\alpha} \bar\varkappa \bar k\,. \tag{6.3}$$

γ and $\bar\gamma$ are central elements of the HS algebra.

$$\Delta_{ad}\gamma = (\Delta_{tw}\varkappa)\,\theta_\alpha\theta^\alpha k = 0, \qquad \Delta_{ad}\bar\gamma = (\Delta_{tw}\bar\varkappa)\,\bar\theta_{\dot\alpha}\bar\theta^{\dot\alpha}\bar k = 0. \tag{6.4}$$

These equations follow from (3.10) as well as from the fact that Klein operators (2.5) commute with the Lorentz part of AdS connection (3.6) and anticommute with AdS translations (3.7) thus being covariantly constant with respect to the twisted-adjoint derivative.

As mentioned in Section 2, the physical part of B_1 depends linearly on k or $\bar k$

$$B_1 = Ck + \bar C \bar k, \tag{6.5}$$

$$\Delta_{tw} C = \Delta_{tw}\bar C = 0. \tag{6.6}$$

According to (4.35), C and $\bar C$ are Z-independent and obey

$$\mathcal{D}_{tw} C\,(y,\bar y) = 0\,, \qquad \mathcal{D}_{tw}\bar C\,(y,\bar y) = 0. \tag{6.7}$$

Now, in principle, we can find a general solution for W_1 from (6.1), using (4.35). But as we are interested in θ-independent part of the HS equations, where all dynamical d.o.f. are contained, we can directly look for the Z-cohomology equations with the help of (5.10). This yields

$$\mathcal{D}_{ad}\omega\,(Y) = -i\hat h \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\phi^{AB}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial Y^A \partial\theta^B}\right)(\eta B_1 * \gamma + \bar\eta B_1 * \bar\gamma) \tag{6.8}$$

for Z-independent space-time 1-form ω, representing HS potentials. Elementary computation using (2.7) gives

$$\mathcal{D}_{ad}\omega\,(Y) = -\frac{i}{4}\eta h_\mu{}^{\dot\alpha} h^{\mu\dot\beta}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial\bar y^{\dot\alpha}\partial\bar y^{\dot\beta}}\left(C\,(0,\bar y) + \bar C\,(0,\bar y)\,k\bar k\right) - \frac{i}{4}\bar\eta h^\alpha{}_{\dot\mu} h^{\beta\dot\mu}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^\alpha \partial y^\beta}\left(C\,(y,0)\,k\bar k + \bar C\,(y,0)\right). \tag{6.9}$$

So, using the results of Section 5, we immediately arrive at Central On-Mass-Shell Theorem. Note that with the new technics one even does not need to know an explicit form of W_1.

6.2 Higher orders

Let us sketch how the general scheme applies at higher orders.

To the second order, equations (2.1), (2.2) reduce to

$$\Delta_{ad} W_2 + W_1 * W_1 = -i\eta B_2 * \gamma - i\bar{\eta} B_2 * \bar{\gamma}\,, \tag{6.10}$$

$$\Delta_{tw} B_2 + [W_1, B_1]_* = 0\,, \tag{6.11}$$

where B_2 and W_2 are master fields at the second order. The equations for Z-independent part (cohomology) of B_2-field, $C(Y)k$ and $\bar{C}(Y)\bar{k}$ can be immediately obtained using (4.34)

$$\mathcal{D}_{tw} C = -\mathscr{H}_{tw}\left(W_1 * C - C * \pi\left(W_1\right)\right), \tag{6.12}$$

where $\pi(y, \bar{y}) = (-y, \bar{y})$ and W_1 is found from (6.1)

$$W_1 = \omega\left(Y\right) - i\Delta^*_{ad}\left(\eta B_1 * \gamma + \bar{\eta} B_1 * \bar{\gamma}\right). \tag{6.13}$$

The Z-dependent part of B_2

$$B_2\left(Z; Y\right) = -\Delta^*_{tw}\left(W_1 * B_1 - B_1 * W_1\right) \tag{6.14}$$

allows one to get the equation for cohomology part of W_2

$$\mathcal{D}_{ad}\omega = -\mathscr{H}_{ad}\left(W_1 * W_1 + i\eta B_2 * \gamma + i\bar{\eta} B_2 * \bar{\gamma}\right). \tag{6.15}$$

Right hand sides of (6.14) and (6.15) reproduce straightforwardly second order contribution to HS equations calculated e.g. in [23, 24].

It is not difficult to write down general recurrency for HS equations at any order in perturbations. Equations for the n-th order are

$$\mathcal{D}_{ad}\omega\left(Y\right) = -\sum_{p=1}^{n-1} \mathscr{H}_{ad}\left(W_p * W_{n-p} + i\eta B_n * \gamma + i\bar{\eta} B_n * \bar{\gamma}\right), \tag{6.16}$$

$$\mathcal{D}_{tw}\left(Ck + \bar{C}\bar{k}\right)\left(Y\right) = -\sum_{p=1}^{n-1} \mathscr{H}_{tw}\left(W_p * B_{n-p} - B_{n-p} * W_p\right), \tag{6.17}$$

$$B_n\left(Z; Y\right) = -\sum_{p=1}^{n-1} \Delta^*_{tw}\left(W_p * B_{n-p} - B_{n-p} * W_p\right), \tag{6.18}$$

$$W_n\left(Z; Y\right) = -\sum_{p=1}^{n-1} \Delta^*_{ad}\left(W_p * W_{n-p} + i\eta B_n * \gamma + i\bar{\eta} B_n * \bar{\gamma}\right). \tag{6.19}$$

These formulae provide a simplified version of the formulae presented in [23].

6.3 Extended equations

Another application is to extended HS system (2.10), (2.11). As an illustration we calculate a vacuum 3-form Ω, contributing to $\mathcal{W}$. Corresponding equation, resulting from (2.10), is

$$\Delta_{ad}\Omega = g\gamma * \bar{\gamma}. \tag{6.20}$$

First of all we find that the r.h.s. of (6.20) does not contribute to cohomology

$$\mathscr{H}_{ad}\left(g\delta^4\left(\theta\right)*k\varkappa*\bar{k}\bar{\varkappa}\right)=\hat{h}\Big[\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\phi^{AB}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial Y^A\partial\theta^B}\right)g\exp\left(iZ_CY^C\right)\delta^4\left(\theta\right)\Big]=0. \tag{6.21}$$

Hence, omitting pure gauge part, we find from (4.35) that

$$\Omega=\Delta^*_{ad}\left(g\gamma*\bar{\gamma}\right)=-\frac{g}{2i}Z^A\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta^A}\int\limits_0^1 dtt^3\exp\left(-\frac{i}{2}\left(1-t\right)\phi^{BC}\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta^B}Z_C+itZ_BY^B\right)\delta^4\left(\theta\right)k\bar{k}=$$

$$=-\frac{g}{2i}Z^A\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta^A}\int\limits_0^1 dt\,t^3e^{itZ_AY^A}\delta^4(\theta_A-\frac{i}{2}(1-t)\phi_A{}^BZ_B)k\bar{k}\,, \tag{6.22}$$

which coincides with the result presented in [17]. The proposed technique makes its derivation much easier.

For future applications, let us apply resolution of identity (5.49) to the central elements γ and $\bar{\gamma}$ from (6.3), which play an important role in the theory. This yields

$$\gamma=\Delta_{ad}\rho+\bar{H}, \tag{6.23}$$

where

$$\rho\;:=\;\Delta^*_{tw}\gamma=\int\limits_0^1 dtz^\alpha\left(it\theta_\alpha+\frac{1}{2}t\left(1-t\right)\omega_\alpha{}^\beta z_\beta-\frac{1}{2}\left(1-t\right)h_\alpha{}^{\dot\beta}\bar{y}_{\dot\beta}\right)\exp\left(itz_\gamma y^\gamma\right)k, \tag{6.24}$$

$$\bar{H}\;:=\;\mathscr{H}_{tw}\gamma=\frac{1}{4}h^{\alpha\dot\beta}h_\alpha{}^{\dot\gamma}\bar{y}_{\dot\beta}\bar{y}_{\dot\gamma}k. \tag{6.25}$$

Analogously

$$\bar{\gamma}\;=\;\Delta_{ad}\bar{\rho}+H, \tag{6.26}$$

$$\bar{\rho}\;:=\;\Delta^*_{tw}\bar{\gamma}=\int\limits_0^1 dt\bar{z}^{\dot\alpha}\left(it\bar{\theta}_{\dot\alpha}+\frac{1}{2}t\left(1-t\right)\bar{\omega}_{\dot\alpha}{}^{\dot\beta}z_{\dot\beta}-\frac{1}{2}\left(1-t\right)h^\beta{}_{\dot\alpha}y_\beta\right)\exp\left(it\bar{z}_{\dot\gamma}\bar{y}^{\dot\gamma}\right)\bar{k}, \tag{6.27}$$

$$H\;:=\;\mathscr{H}_{tw}\bar{\gamma}=\frac{1}{4}h^{\beta\dot\alpha}h^\gamma{}_{\dot\alpha}y_\beta y_\gamma\bar{k}. \tag{6.28}$$

7 Conclusion

The tools developed in this paper greatly simplify perturbative analysis of HS equations. Instead of repeated application of the homotopy formulae to the equations containing de Rham differential in the twistor variables, we have derived a closed formula for the application of this procedure to any function. This approach significantly simplifies the perturbative computations providing a systematic scheme for the analysis of the invariant defining system (2.10), (2.11) which gets far too annoying in the standard setup where the first-order perturbation is comparable to the fourth-order of the original system.

The proposed method rests on the application of the general spectral sequence formula of resolution of identity to specific HS covariant derivatives. Remarkably, in this case one can write down the explicit expressions containing a single homotopy integral instead of formal operator series in terms of homotopy integrals for the 'inverse' operator and the cohomology projection. Effectively this means that formulae presented in this paper evaluate most of multiple homotopy integrations appearing in the conventional computation. This provides an efficient working tool applicable to a variety of problems far beyond the analysis of invariant functionals in the $4d$ HS theory [25] for which it has been originally developed.

In particular, the method is applicable to HS models in three [26] and any dimensions [22]. The application to $d = 3$ HS equations [26] is straightforward. In this case the structure of adjoint operator is similar to that in four dimensions (5.2). The twisted-adjoint operator, though differently realized in $d = 3$, still acts analogously to its $d = 4$ cousin (5.33).

In the HS models in any dimensions of [22] the situation seems different as the analog of the four-dimensional $\mathrm{d}_Z = \frac{\partial}{\partial Z^A}\theta^A$ is $\hat{\mathrm{d}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial Z^{I\alpha}}\theta^{I\alpha}$, where I is a vector index of $o(d-1,2)$ that takes $d+1$ values. Introduced in a dual fashion to $Y^{I\alpha}$, however, variables $Z^{I\alpha}$ are almost all excessive. The minimal amount of extra Z-variables is $z_\alpha = Z_{d+1\alpha}$. Formally, such reduction can be easily performed by fixing θ-dependent part of W as $W \sim Z_{i\alpha}\theta^{i\alpha}$ to all orders, where $i \in I$ belongs to Lorentz-transversal part. Upon this 'gauge fixing' the perturbation series arrange themselves analogously to the $d = 4$ form.

Acknowledgement

We are grateful to O.Gelfond and E.Skvortsov for a useful comments. The research was supported by the Russian Science Foundation grant 14-42-00047 in association with Lebedev Physical Institute.

References

[1] J. M. Maldacena, *The large N limit of superconformal field theories and supergravity*, Adv.Theor.Math.Phys. 2 (1998) 231-252 [hep-th/9711200].

[2] S. S. Gubser, I. R. Klebanov and A. M. Polyakov, *Gauge theory correlators from noncritical string theory*, Phys. Lett. B428 (1998) 105-114 [hep-th/9802109].

[3] E. Witten, *Anti-de Sitter space and holography*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 2 (1998) 253-291 [hep-th/9802150].

[4] Bo Sundborg, *Stringy gravity, interacting tensionless strings and massless higher spins*, Nucl.Phys.Proc.Suppl. 102 (2001) 113-119 [hep-th/0103247].

[5] E. Witten, talk at the John Schwarz 60-th birthday symposium http://theory.caltech.edu/jhs60/witten/1.html.

[6] E. Sezgin, P. Sundell, *Massless higher spins and holography*, Nucl.Phys. B644 (2002) 303-370 [hep-th/0205131].

[7] I. R. Klebanov and A. M. Polyakov, *AdS dual of the critical O(N) vector model*, Phys. Lett. B550 (2002) 213-219 [hep-th/0210114].

[8] R. Leigh, A.C. Petkou, *Holography of the N=1 higher spin theory on AdS(4)*, JHEP 0306 (2003) 011, [hep-th/0304217].

[9] E. Sezgin, P. Sundell, *Holography in 4D (super) higher spin theories and a test via cubic scalar couplings*, JHEP 0507 (2005) 044 [hep-th/0305040].

[10] S. Giombi and X. Yin, *Higher Spin Gauge Theory and Holography: The Three-Point Functions*, JHEP 1009 (2010) 115 [0912.3462].

[11] S. Giombi and X. Yin, *Higher Spins in AdS and Twistorial Holography*, JHEP 1104 (2011) 086 [1004.3736].

[12] N. Boulanger, P. Sundell, *An action principle for Vasiliev's four-dimensional higher-spin gravity*, J.Phys. A44 (2011) 495402 [1102.2219].

[13] S. Giombi, I. Klebanov, *One Loop Tests of Higher Spin AdS/CFT*, JHEP 1312 (2013) 068 [1308.2337].

[14] M. Beccaria, A. Tseytlin, *Higher spins in AdS_5 at one loop: vacuum energy, boundary conformal anomalies and AdS/CFT*, JHEP 1411 (2014) 114 [1410.3273].

[15] M. Beccaria, A. Tseytlin, *On higher spin partition functions*, J.Phys. A48 (2015) 275401 [1503.08143].

[16] N. Colombo, P. Sundell, *Higher Spin Gravity Amplitudes From Zero-form Charges* [1208.3880].

[17] M. A. Vasiliev, *Invariant Functionals in Higher-Spin Theory* [1504.07289].

[18] M. A.Vasiliev, *More on equations of motion for interacting massless fields of all spins in (3+1)-dimensions,* Phys.Lett. B285 (1992) 225-234.

[19] M. A. Vasiliev, *Higher spin gauge theories: Star-product and AdS space*, in M.A. Shifman (ed.): The many faces of the superworld 533-610 [hep-th/9910096].

[20] M. A. Vasiliev, *On Conformal, SL(4,R) and Sp(8,R) Symmetries of 4d Massless Fields*, Nucl.Phys. B793 (2008) 469-526 [0707.1085].

[21] N. Boulanger, E. Sezgin, P. Sundell, *4D Higher Spin Gravity with Dynamical Two-Form as a Frobenius-Chern-Simons Gauge Theory* [1505.04957].

[22] M. A. Vasiliev, *Nonlinear equations for symmetric massless higher spin fields in (A)dS(d)*, Phys.Lett. B567 (2003) 139-151 [hep-th/0304049].

[23] E. Sezgin, P. Sundell, *Analysis of higher spin field equations in four-dimensions*, JHEP 0207 (2002) 055 [hep-th/0205132].

[24] N. Boulanger, P. Kessel, E.D. Skvortsov, M. Taronna, *Higher spin interactions in four-dimensions: Vasiliev versus Fronsdal*, J.Phys. A49 (2016) 095402 [1508.04139].

[25] V. E. Didenko, N. G. Misuna and M. A. Vasiliev, work in progress.

[26] S. F. Prokushkin, M. A. Vasiliev, *Higher spin gauge interactions for massive matter fields in 3-D AdS space-time*, Nucl.Phys. B545 (1999) 385 [hep-th/9806236].